

Orthogonal Polynomial Method & Double Scaling Limit ①

~~remark~~

fractional power

[Q] where does the singular behavior of g come from?

essential ~~for~~ to have the double-scaling limit.

" $\lambda \sim 2\sqrt{a(g)}$ " determines the singular behavior.

[A] ~~to answer the question, it's useful to consider~~

the orthogonal poly. method is extremely useful!

to show this

§ orthogonal polynomial

definition

(i)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda e^{-NV(\lambda)} P_n(\lambda) P_m(\lambda) = h_n \delta_{nm}$$

↑
 n -th order polynomial

e.g. $V(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2$ then, $P_n(\lambda)$ becomes Hermite polynomial.

$e^{-NV(\lambda)/2} P_n(\lambda) \propto \psi_n(\lambda)$ wavefunction
energy eigenstate
of harmonic oscillator

(ii) recursion relation.

$$\lambda P_n(\lambda) = P_{n+1}(\lambda) + S_n P_n(\lambda) + R_n P_{n-1}(\lambda)$$

depends on "g"

$S_n = 0$ for even $V(\lambda)$

(for this case, one can show that $P_n(-\lambda) = (-1)^n P_n(\lambda)$)

Q no other terms such as $P_{n-2}(\lambda)$?

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda e^{-NV(\lambda)} \lambda P_n(\lambda) P_{n-2}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda e^{-NV(\lambda)} P_n(\lambda) (P_{n-1}(\lambda) + \text{lower deg polynomial})$$

$$= 0! \text{ identically}$$

$\therefore \lambda P_n(\lambda)$ does not contain $P_{n-2}(\lambda) \dots P_0(\lambda)$

(iii) two relations that $R_n(\lambda)$ satisfy.

$$\textcircled{1} \int d\lambda e^{-NV(\lambda)} \lambda P_{n-1}(\lambda) P_n(\lambda) = h_n(g)$$

||

$$h_{n-1}(g) \cdot R_n(g)$$

Hence

$$R_n = h_n / h_{n-1}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \int d\lambda \, e^{-NV(\lambda)} \frac{d}{d\lambda} P_n \cdot P_{n-1} = n h_{n-1}$$

$$= N \int d\lambda \underbrace{e^{-NV(\lambda)}}_{(\lambda + 4g\lambda^3)} \cdot P_n \cdot P_{n-1}$$

$$= N R_n h_{n-1} + 4g N \int d\lambda \underbrace{e^{-NV(\lambda)}}_{(\dots + R_{n+1} R_n P_{n-1} + R_n^2 P_{n-1} + R_n R_{n-1} P_{n-1})} P_n$$

$$= N \cdot R_n (1 + 4g (R_{n+1} + R_n + R_{n-1})) h_{n-1}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\frac{n}{N} = R_n (1 + 4g (R_{n+1} + R_n + R_{n-1}))}$$

(iv) partition function

$$Z[g] = \int d\lambda_1 \dots d\lambda_N \underbrace{\Delta^2(\lambda_i)}_{\substack{\rightarrow \\ \text{by def}}} e^{-N(v(\lambda_1) + \dots + v(\lambda_N))}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{by def}}{=} \det^2(\lambda_i^{\dot{j}-1})$$

$$= \det^2(P_{j-1}(\lambda_i))$$

$$= \left[\varepsilon^{\dot{j}_1 \dots \dot{j}_N} P_{j_1}(\lambda_1) P_{j_2}(\lambda_2) \dots P_{j_N}(\lambda_N) \right]^2$$

one can obtain that

$$Z[g] = N! h_0 h_1 \dots h_{N-1}(g)$$

$$Z[g]/Z[0] = \left(\frac{h_0(g)}{h_0(0)} \right)^N \left(\frac{R_1(g)}{R_1(0)} \right)^{N-1} \left(\frac{R_2(g)}{R_2(0)} \right)^{N-2} \dots \left(\frac{R_{N-1}(g)}{R_{N-1}(0)} \right)$$

§ large N limit

(i) recursion relation in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit

$$\frac{n}{N} \equiv x, \quad r_\bullet(x = \frac{n}{N}, g) \equiv R_n(g)$$

then, the recursion relation can be written as

$$x = r_\bullet(x, g) \left(1 + xg \left(\underbrace{r_\bullet(x+\epsilon, g) + r_\bullet(x, g) + r_\bullet(x-\epsilon, g)}_{\text{leading term} \approx 3r_\bullet(x, g) \text{ (sphere)}} \right) \right)$$

leading term $\approx 3r_\bullet(x, g)$ (sphere) contribution

$$\therefore r_\bullet (1 + 12g r_\bullet) = x$$

$$r_\bullet(x, g) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 48gx}}{24g}$$

$$r_\bullet(x=1, g) = a^2(g) \leftarrow \text{end point of eigen}$$

(ii) partition function.

$$\log\left(\frac{Z[g]}{Z[0]}\right) = N \log\left[\frac{h_0(g)}{h_0(0)}\right] + N \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N}\right) \log\left(\frac{R_n(g)}{R_n(0)}\right)$$

$N \rightarrow \infty$ limit $\rightarrow$

$$N^2 \int_0^1 dx (1-x) \log\left(\frac{r_0(x,g)}{r_0(x,0)}\right)$$

$$= -E^{h=0}(g) \quad (\text{sphere})$$

when $r(x,g) \cong r_0(x,g)$.

one can explicitly show that

$$\int_0^1 dx (1-x) \log\left(\frac{r_0(x,g)}{x}\right) \quad \text{where} \quad r_0(x,g) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 48gx}}{24g}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log a^2(g) - \frac{1}{24} (1 - a^2(g)) (a^2(g) - 1) \quad \square$$

⊗ singular behavior

(i) notice that the scaling behavior we want is

$$+ E^{h=0}(g) \sim (g_c - g)^{\frac{5}{2}}$$

$$(ii) - E^{h=0}(g) = \int_0^1 dx (1-x) \log r_0(x, g)$$

assume that

$$\boxed{r_0(x, g) \underset{g \rightarrow g_c}{\approx} r_c + (-g_c + gx)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{and near } x=1)}$$

$$\underset{g \rightarrow g_c}{\approx} \int_0^1 dx (1-x) \log (r_c + (-g_c + gx)^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$+ (\dots) \underset{\text{irrelevant}}{\approx} (-g_c + gx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\sim \underbrace{\int_0^1 dx (1-x) (-g_c + gx)^{\frac{3}{2}}}_{\text{irrelevant}} + \int_0^1 dx (-g_c + gx)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

(*) irrelevant terms are of order "1"
 they become divergent in $N \rightarrow \infty$. (cut-off??)

(iii)

Can we obtain this behavior?

$\sim (-g_c + g)^{\frac{5}{2}} + (\dots)$
 !! this is what we want !!

$$x = W(r)$$

$$= r_0 \left(1 + 12g r_0 \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{48g} + 12g \left(r_0 + \frac{1}{24g} \right)^2$$

$$\text{or } g x + \underbrace{\frac{1}{48}}_{=-g_c} = 12g^2 \left(r_0 + \frac{1}{24g} \right)^2$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \cancel{r_0} + \frac{1}{24g} = \cancel{\frac{1}{12g} (gx - g_c)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{12g^2} (gx - g_c)^2}$$

this is exactly what we want

(iv) in general,

$$x = W(r)$$

$$\cong W(r_c) + \frac{1}{2} W''(r_c) (r - r_c)^2 + \underbrace{(\dots)}$$

one need to argue that
these terms become
negligible when $x \sim 1$

Here r_c is defined as a critical point, i.e., ⑤

$$W'(r=r_c) = 0.$$

to make everything consistent, one has to require

$$g_x \underset{(x \sim 1)}{\cong} \boxed{\begin{array}{c} \underbrace{g W(r_c)} \\ = g_c \end{array}} + \frac{1}{2} W''(r_c) \cdot g \cdot (r - r_c)^2 + \dots$$

§ all genus partition function

(9)

note that

$$\log \left(\frac{Z_N[g]}{Z_N[0]} \right) \underset{N \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} N^2 \int_0^1 dx (1-x) \log[r(x, g)/x]$$

where

$$x = r(x) \left(1 + \frac{1}{48} g \left(r(x + \frac{1}{N}) + r(x - \frac{1}{N}) + r(x) \right) \right)$$

Using the double-scaling limit, one can actually

obtain the exact partition function in terms of "t"!

• double-scaling limit

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g = g_c + \alpha^2 k \\ \frac{1}{N} = t \alpha^5 \\ r(x) \cong r_c - 2\alpha^2 u(y) \text{ where } x = \frac{W(r_c)}{W(r_c)} - \alpha^2 y \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} g_c = -\frac{1}{48} \text{ \& } 48g = -1 + 48\alpha^2 k \\ \\ -\frac{1}{24g} \cong 2 + \underbrace{48\alpha^2 k}_{\text{negligible}} \\ \\ -\frac{1}{48g} \cong 1 + 48\alpha^2 k \end{array}$$

then, one can argue that

(10)

$$\log\left(\frac{Z_N[g]}{Z[0]}\right) \underset{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ \alpha \rightarrow 0}}{\sim} (\hbar^{-2} \alpha^{-10}) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (-\alpha^2 dy) (\alpha^2 (y - x_0 \hbar)) \underbrace{\log(r_0 - 2\alpha^2 u(y))}_{\approx -\alpha^2 u(y)}$$

$$= -\hbar^{-2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy (y - x_0 \hbar) u(y, \hbar) \quad (\star)$$

"exact" Z_{gravity} in terms of $\hbar$!

where $u(y, \hbar)$ satisfies the eqn. below

$$y = \underbrace{u^2(y)}_{\text{non-linear}} - \frac{\hbar^2}{3} \frac{d^2}{dy^2} u(y) \quad \underline{\underline{\text{Painlevé I}}}$$

(i) the above eqn. can be obtained by taking the double-scaling limit of the relation below

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{(x)}_{1 - \alpha^2 (y - x_0 \hbar)} &= \underbrace{r(\alpha) (1 + 12g r(\alpha))}_{= W(r_0 - 2\alpha^2 u(y))} + \underbrace{4g r(\alpha) \cdot (r(\alpha + \hbar \alpha^5) + r(\alpha - \hbar \alpha^5) - 2r(\alpha))}_{\sim \alpha^4 \frac{1}{3} \frac{d^2}{dy^2} u(y)} \\ &\approx 1 + x_0 \hbar \alpha^4 - \alpha^4 u^2(y) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) one can try to solve the Painlevé I eqn

perturbatively in $\hbar$

$$u(y) = \sqrt{y} + \hbar^2 w(y)$$

$$\rightarrow y = (y + 2\hbar^2 \sqrt{y} w(y)) - \frac{\hbar^2}{3} \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right) y^{-\frac{3}{2}} + \dots$$

$$\therefore w(y) = -\frac{1}{24} y^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)$$

one can argue that.

$$u(y) = \sqrt{y} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (u_k) \hbar^{2k} \cdot (y^{-\frac{5}{2}})^k \right)$$

all positive.

plugging them into eq. (*) leads to all-genus result.

* remark

this series is ^a asymptotic series & non-Borel summable

$\Rightarrow$ non-perturbative in $\hbar$ i.e. $e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}}$ factor

in solution $u(y)$
the

physical meaning??